

ALMOST AUTOMORPHIC SOLUTIONS TO INTEGRAL EQUATIONS ON THE LINE

CLAUDIO CUEVAS AND CARLOS LIZAMA

ABSTRACT. Given $a \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$ and A the generator of an L^1 -integrable family of bounded and linear operators defined on a Banach space X , we prove the existence of almost automorphic solution to the semilinear integral equation $u(t) = \int_{-\infty}^t a(t-s)[Au(s) + f(s, u(s))]ds$ for each $f : \mathbb{R} \times X \rightarrow X$ almost automorphic in t , uniformly in $x \in X$, and satisfying diverse Lipschitz type conditions. In the scalar case, we prove that $a \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$ positive, nonincreasing and log-convex is already sufficient.

1. INTRODUCTION

We study in this paper the almost automorphicity of semilinear integral equations of the form

$$(1.1) \quad u(t) = \int_{-\infty}^t a(t-s)[Au(s) + s^n g(s, u(s))]ds, \quad t \in \mathbb{R}, n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$$

where $a \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$, $A : D(A) \subset X \rightarrow X$ is the generator of an integral resolvent family defined on a complex Banach space X and $g : \mathbb{R} \times X \rightarrow X$ is an almost automorphic function satisfying suitable Lipschitz conditions.

A continuous function $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow X$ is said to be almost automorphic if for every sequence of real numbers $(s'_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ there exists a subsequence $(s_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset (s'_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ such that

$$g(t) := \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f(t + s_n)$$

is well defined for each $t \in \mathbb{R}$, and

$$f(t) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} g(t - s_n), \quad \text{for each } t \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Almost automorphicity is a generalization of the classical concept of an almost periodic function. It was introduced in the literature by S. Bochner and recently studied by several authors, including [4, 6, 8, 10, 14, 19] among others. A complete description of their properties and further applications to evolution equations can be found in the monographs [20] and [21] by G. M. N'Guérékata. Observe that equation (1.1) can be viewed as the *limiting equation* for the Volterra equation

$$(1.2) \quad u(t) = \int_0^t a(t-s)[Au(s) + s^n g(s, u(s))]ds, \quad t \geq 0, n \in \mathbb{Z}_+,$$

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 43A60; Secondary 47D60, 45N05, 47J35.

Key words and phrases. Almost automorphic function, integral resolvent family, semilinear integro-differential equations.

The first author is partially supported by CNPQ/Brazil under Grant 300068/2005-0 .

The second author is partially financed by Laboratorio de Análisis Estocástico, Proyecto Anillo PBCT-ACT-13.

see [23, Chapter III, Section 11.5] to obtain details on this assertion.

Sufficient conditions for the existence of almost automorphic solutions of linear and nonlinear evolution equations have been studied in several papers in recent years (see [19, 10, 9, 14, 1, 4] and [6]).

Equation (1.1) arises in the study of heat flow in materials of fading memory type (see [7] and [22]). While the study of the almost automorphic solutions of (1.1) in the particular case $a(t) = t^{\alpha-1}/\Gamma(\alpha)$ with $1 \leq \alpha \leq 2$ was studied in [1], to the knowledge of the authors no results yet exist for the general class of integral equations considered in this paper.

Our plan is as follows: In Section 2, we introduce some preliminaries on integral resolvent families. In section 3, we treat the linear case

$$(1.3) \quad u(t) = \int_{-\infty}^t a(t-s)[Au(s) + s^n f(s)]ds, \quad t \in \mathbb{R}, n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$$

and prove our first main result. Section 4 is devoted to the semilinear equation

$$(1.4) \quad u(t) = \int_{-\infty}^t a(t-s)[Au(s) + f(s, u(s))]ds, \quad t \in \mathbb{R}.$$

We prove existence of a unique almost automorphic mild solution to (1.4) under the assumption f is almost automorphic and that some Lipchitz condition on f is satisfied.

2. PRELIMINARIES

Recall that the Laplace transform of a function $f \in L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R}_+, X)$ is defined by

$$\mathcal{L}(f)(\lambda) := \hat{f}(\lambda) := \int_0^\infty e^{-\lambda t} f(t) dt, \quad \operatorname{Re} \lambda > \omega,$$

if the integral is absolutely convergent for $\operatorname{Re} \lambda > \omega$. In order to give an operator theoretical approach to equation (1.1) we recall the following definition (cf. [18]).

Definition 2.1. Let A be a closed and linear operator with domain $D(A)$ defined on a Banach space X . We call A the generator of an *integral resolvent* if there exists $\omega \geq 0$ and a strongly continuous function $S : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(X)$ such that $\{1/\hat{a}(\lambda) : \operatorname{Re} \lambda > \omega\} \subset \rho(A)$ and

$$\left(\frac{1}{\hat{a}(\lambda)}I - A\right)^{-1}x = \int_0^\infty e^{-\lambda t} S(t)x dt, \quad \operatorname{Re} \lambda > \omega, \quad x \in X.$$

In this case, $S(t)$ is called the integral resolvent family generated by A .

The concept of integral resolvent, as defined above, is closely related with the concept of resolvent family (see Prüss [23, Chapter I]). A closed but weaker definition was formulated by Prüss [23, definition 1.6]. For the scalar case, where there is a large bibliography, we refer to the monograph by Gripenberg, Londen and Staffans [12], and references therein.

Because of the uniqueness of the Laplace transform, an integral resolvent family with $a(t) \equiv 1$ is the same as a C_0 -semigroup whereas an integral resolvent family with $a(t) = t$ corresponds to the concept of sine family, see [2, Section 3.15].

We note that integral resolvent families are a particular case of (a, k) -regularized families introduced in [15]. These are studied in a series of several papers in recent years (see [16], [17], [24]). According to [15] an integral resolvent family $S(t)$ corresponds to a (a, a) -regularized family.

Notably, integral resolvent families are also present in [5, p.62] (see formula (4.33)) in the particular case $a(t) = t^{\alpha-1}/\Gamma(\alpha)$ and where some properties are studied in the context of vector-valued $L^p(\mathbb{R}, X)$ spaces.

As in the situation of C_0 -semigroups we have diverse relations of an integral resolvent and its generator. The following result is a direct consequence of [15, Proposition 3.1 and Lemma 2.2].

Proposition 2.2. *Let $S(t)$ be an integral resolvent family on X with generator A . Then the following holds:*

(a) $S(t)D(A) \subset D(A)$ and $AS(t)x = S(t)Ax$ for all $x \in D(A), t \geq 0$;

(b) Let $x \in D(A)$ and $t \geq 0$. Then

$$(2.1) \quad S(t)x = a(t)x + \int_0^t a(t-s)AS(s)x ds.$$

(c) Let $x \in X$ and $t \geq 0$. Then $\int_0^t a(t-s)S(s)x ds \in D(A)$ and

$$S(t)x = a(t)x + A \int_0^t a(t-s)S(s)x ds.$$

In particular, $S(0) = a(0)$.

If an operator A with domain $D(A)$ is the infinitesimal generator of an integral resolvent family $S(t)$ and $a(t)$ is a continuous, positive and nondecreasing function which satisfies $\overline{\lim}_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{\|S(t)\|}{a(t)} < \infty$, then for all $x \in D(A)$ we have

$$Ax = \lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{S(t)x - a(t)x}{(a * a)(t)},$$

see [17, Theorem 2.1]. For example, the case $a(t) \equiv 1$ corresponds to the generator of a C_0 -semigroup and $a(t) = t$ actually corresponds to the generator of a sine family.

A characterization of generators of integral resolvent families, analogous to the Hille-Yosida Theorem for C_0 semigroups, can be directly deduced from [15, Theorem 3.4]. Results on perturbation, approximation, representation as well as ergodic type theorems can be also deduced from the more general context of (a, k) regularized resolvents (see [16, 17] and [24]).

3. ALMOST AUTOMORPHIC SOLUTIONS

In this section we consider the existence and uniqueness of almost automorphic solutions to the evolution equation

$$(3.1) \quad u(t) = \int_{-\infty}^t a(t-s)[Au(s) + s^n g(s)] ds, \quad t \in \mathbb{R}, n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$$

where A is the generator of an integral resolvent family and $a \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$.

As a consequence of the definition of an almost automorphic function given in the introduction, the following properties hold (cf [21]) : let $f, g : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow X$ be almost automorphic functions and let $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$, then $f + g, \lambda f$ and f_λ are almost automorphic, where $f_\lambda(t) := f(t + \lambda)$. Moreover, the range $R(f)$ of f is relatively compact, therefore it is bounded. Almost automorphic functions constitute a Banach space $AA(X)$ when it is endowed with the sup norm:

$$\|f\|_\infty := \sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}} \|f(t)\|.$$

The following result on the almost automorphicity of the convolution is the key for the results of this paper. It can be proved by the simple argument of [5, Proposition 2.3]. See also [6, Theorem 2.1] or [1, Lemma 3.1] for a detailed proof.

Lemma 3.1. *Let $\{S(t)\}_{t \geq 0} \subset \mathcal{B}(X)$ be a strongly continuous family of bounded and linear operators such that*

$$\|S(t)\| \leq \phi(t) \text{ for all } t \in \mathbb{R}_+ \text{ with } \phi \in L^1(\mathbb{R}_+).$$

If $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow X$ is an almost automorphic function then

$$\int_{-\infty}^t S(t-s)f(s) ds \in AA(X).$$

Proposition 3.2. *Let $a \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$. Assume that A generates an integral resolvent family $\{S(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$ on X , which is in addition integrable. If f is almost automorphic and takes values on $D(A)$ then the unique bounded solution of the problem*

$$(3.2) \quad u(t) = \int_{-\infty}^t a(t-s)[Au(s) + f(s)]ds, \quad t \in \mathbb{R},$$

is almost automorphic and is given by

$$u(t) = \int_{-\infty}^t S(t-s)f(s)ds, \quad t \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Proof. Since $f(t) \in D(A)$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$, we obtain $u(t) \in D(A)$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$ (see [23, Proposition 1.2]). Then by (2.1) and Fubini's theorem we obtain $\int_{-\infty}^t a(t-s) Au(s) ds = u(t) - \int_{-\infty}^t a(t-s) f(\tau) d\tau$. The statement follows by Lemma 3.1. \square

Remark 3.3. A complete discussion on integrable integral resolvents is given in [23, Chapter 3, section 10].

If $w_n(t) := (1 + |t|)^n, n \in \mathbb{Z}_+, t \in \mathbb{R}$ and $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow X$ we set

$$\|f\|_{w_n, \infty} := \|f/w_n\|_\infty.$$

We consider the following weighted classes (see [4])

$$AA_{w_n}(X) := \{w_n f : f \in AA(X)\} \text{ and } C_{w_n, 0}(\mathbb{R}, X) := \{w_n f : f \in C_0(\mathbb{R}; X)\}.$$

Then one can check that $AA_{w_n}(X)$ and $C_{w_n, 0}(\mathbb{R}, X)$ are Banach spaces endowed with the norm $\|\cdot\|_{w_n, \infty}$. Moreover, it was proved in [4] that $AA_{w_n}(X) + C_{w_n, 0}(\mathbb{R}, X)$ is a closed subspace of $BC_{w_n}(\mathbb{R}, X)$ and that the sum is (topologically) direct, see [4, Theorem 1.6] and the remark before Definition 3.2 in the cited paper.

The following is the main result of this section. Their proof follows the same lines of [4, Theorem 4.3] (see also [1]), but we give it here for the sake of completeness.

Theorem 3.4. *Let $n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$. Assume that A generates an integral resolvent family $\{S(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$ satisfying*

$$(3.3) \quad \|t^k S(t)\| \leq \phi(t), \quad t \in \mathbb{R}_+, \quad \text{with } \phi \in L^1(\mathbb{R}_+)$$

for all $k = 0, 1, \dots, n$. Let $f \in AA(X)$ taking values on $D(A)$. Then the equation

$$u(t) = \int_{-\infty}^t a(t-s)[Au(s) + s^n f(s)]ds, \quad t \in \mathbb{R},$$

has a unique solution $u \in AA_{w_n}(X) \oplus C_{w_n,0}(\mathbb{R}, X)$.

Proof. Let $u(t) = \int_0^\infty S(s)(t-s)^n f(t-s) ds$ then

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^\infty S(s)(t-s)^n f(t-s) ds &= t^n \int_0^\infty S(s)f(t-s) ds \\ &+ \sum_{k=1}^n (-1)^k \binom{n}{k} t^{n-k} \int_0^\infty s^k S(s)f(t-s) ds \\ &=: u_1(t) + u_2(t). \end{aligned}$$

(in case $n = 0$ we take $u_2(t) \equiv 0$). By Lemma 3.1, $u_1 \in AA_{w_n}(X)$. We will show that $u_2 \in C_{w_n,0}(\mathbb{R}, X)$. Indeed, by (3.3) we have $s^k S(\cdot) \in L^1(\mathbb{R}_+, \mathcal{B}(X))$ for all $k = 0, 1, \dots, n$. So

$$\left\| \int_0^\infty s^k S(s)f(t-s) ds \right\| \leq \int_0^\infty \|s^k S(s)f(t-s)\| ds \leq \|f\|_\infty \|\phi_k\|_1$$

for all $k = 0, 1, \dots, n$. Since $\lim_{|t| \rightarrow \infty} \frac{t^r}{(1+|t|)^n} = 0$, we have

$$t^r \int_0^\infty s^k S(s)f(t-s) ds \in C_{w_n,0}(\mathbb{R}, X), \quad 0 \leq r < n$$

and this shows $u_2 \in C_{w_n,0}(\mathbb{R}, X)$. \square

Taking $n = 0$ and $X = \mathbb{R}$ we obtain the following result for the scalar case.

Corollary 3.5. *Let $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be an almost automorphic function, $a \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$ and let $\rho > 0$ be a real number. Then the equation*

$$(3.4) \quad u(t) = \int_{-\infty}^t a(t-s)[- \rho u(s) + f(s)]ds, \quad t \in \mathbb{R},$$

has an almost automorphic solution given by

$$u(t) = \int_{-\infty}^t S_\rho(t-s)f(s)ds, \quad t \in \mathbb{R},$$

whenever $S_\rho(t)$, being the solution of the one dimensional equation

$$(3.5) \quad S_\rho(t) = a(t) - \rho \int_0^t a(t-s)S_\rho(s)ds,$$

satisfy $|S_\rho(t)| \leq \phi_\rho(t)$, with $\phi_\rho \in L^1(\mathbb{R}_+)$.

Example 3.6. Consider $a(t) = \frac{t^{\alpha-1}}{\Gamma(\alpha)}e^{-\beta t}$ where $\beta > 0$ and $1 < \alpha < 2$. Then we can check that

$$S_\rho(t) = t^{\alpha-1}E_{\alpha,\alpha}(-\rho t)e^{-\beta t}$$

where $E_{\alpha,\alpha}$ denotes the generalized Mittag-Leffler function (see e.g. [11]) which is defined by

$$E_{\alpha,\beta}(z) := \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{z^n}{\Gamma(\alpha n + \beta)} \quad \alpha, \beta > 0, \quad z \in \mathbb{C}.$$

Using the explicit description of $S_\rho(t)e^{\beta t}$ given in [1, Corollary 3.7] we can show that $|S_\rho(t)| \leq \phi_\rho(t)$, with $\phi_\rho \in L^1(\mathbb{R}_+)$. We conclude that the equation

$$(3.6) \quad u(t) = \int_{-\infty}^t \frac{(t-s)^{\alpha-1}}{\Gamma(\alpha)} e^{-\beta(t-s)} [-\rho u(s) + f(s)] ds, \quad t \in \mathbb{R},$$

has an almost automorphic solution whenever f is almost automorphic.

Notably, the following result provide a wide class of kernels $a(t)$ such that the condition $|S_\rho(t)| \leq \phi_\rho(t)$, with $\phi_\rho \in L^1(\mathbb{R}_+)$ of Corollary (3.5) holds.

Corollary 3.7. Let $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be an almost automorphic function and let $\rho > 0$ be a real number. Suppose $a \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$ is positive, nonincreasing and log-convex, then

- a) There is $S_\rho \in L^1(\mathbb{R}_+) \cap C(\mathbb{R}_+)$ such that equation (3.5) is satisfied;
- b) The equation

$$(3.7) \quad u(t) = \int_{-\infty}^t a(t-s) [-\rho u(s) + f(s)] ds, \quad t \in \mathbb{R},$$

has a mild almost automorphic solution given by

$$u(t) = \int_{-\infty}^t S_\rho(t-s) f(s) ds, \quad t \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Proof. Part a) follows by [23, Lemma 4.1, p.98] and then part b) is a consequence of the previous corollary. □

4. SEMILINEAR INTEGRAL EQUATIONS ON THE LINE

In this section we study the semilinear equation

$$(4.1) \quad u(t) = \int_{-\infty}^t a(t-s) [Au(s) + f(s, u(s))] ds, \quad t \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Definition 4.1. Let A be the generator of an integral resolvent family $\{S(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$. A continuous function $u : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow X$ satisfying the integral equation

$$(4.2) \quad u(t) = \int_{-\infty}^t S(t-s) f(s, u(s)) ds, \quad \text{for all } t \in \mathbb{R},$$

is called a *mild* solution on \mathbb{R} to the equation (4.1).

Theorem 4.2. *Assume that A generates an integral resolvent family $\{S(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$ such that*

$$\|S(t)\| \leq \phi(t), \text{ for all } t \geq 0, \text{ with } \phi \in L^1(\mathbb{R}_+).$$

Let $f : \mathbb{R} \times X \rightarrow X$ be almost automorphic in t uniformly in $x \in X$ and satisfy a Lipschitz condition in x uniformly in t , that is,

$$\|f(t, x) - f(t, y)\| \leq L\|x - y\|, \text{ for all } x, y \in X.$$

Then

$$(4.3) \quad u(t) = \int_{-\infty}^t a(t-s)[Au(s) + f(s, u(s))]ds, \quad t \in \mathbb{R},$$

has a unique almost automorphic mild solution whenever $L < \|\phi\|_1^{-1}$.

Proof. We define the operator $F : AA(X) \mapsto AA(X)$ by

$$(4.4) \quad (F\varphi)(t) := \int_{-\infty}^t S(t-s)f(s, \varphi(s)) ds, \quad t \in \mathbb{R}.$$

In view of [13, Lemma 2.2] (see also [21]) and Lemma 3.1, F is well defined. Then for $\varphi_1, \varphi_2 \in AA(X)$ we have:

$$\begin{aligned} \|F\varphi_1 - F\varphi_2\|_\infty &= \sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}} \left\| \int_{-\infty}^t S(t-s)[f(s, \varphi_1(s)) - f(s, \varphi_2(s))]ds \right\| \\ &\leq L \sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}} \int_0^\infty \|S(\tau)\| \|\varphi_1(t-\tau) - \varphi_2(t-\tau)\| d\tau \\ &\leq L\|\varphi_1 - \varphi_2\|_\infty \int_0^\infty \phi(\tau) d\tau. \end{aligned}$$

This proves that F is a contraction, so by the Banach fixed point theorem there exists a unique $u \in AA(X)$, such that $Fu = u$, that is $u(t) = \int_{-\infty}^t S(t-s)f(s, u(s))ds$. \square

An immediate consequence of Theorem 4.2 and Corollary 3.7 is the following remarkable result.

Corollary 4.3. *Let $\rho > 0$ be a real number. Suppose $a \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$ is positive, nonincreasing and log-convex and let $f : \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be almost automorphic in the first variable uniformly with respect to the second variable, and satisfies a Lipschitz condition in the second variable, that is,*

$$\|f(t, x) - f(t, y)\| \leq L\|x - y\|, \text{ for all } x, y \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Then there is $S_\rho \in L^1(\mathbb{R}_+) \cap C(\mathbb{R}_+)$ satisfying the linear equation (3.5). Moreover, the semilinear equation

$$u(t) = \int_{-\infty}^t a(t-s)[- \rho u(s) + f(s, u(s))]ds, \quad t \in \mathbb{R},$$

has a unique almost automorphic mild solution whenever $L < \|S_\rho\|_1^{-1}$.

A different Lipschitz condition is provided in the following result.

Theorem 4.4. *Assume that A generates an integral resolvent family $\{S(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$ such that*

$$\|S(t)\| \leq \phi(t), \text{ for all } t \geq 0, \text{ with } \phi \in L^1(\mathbb{R}_+).$$

Let $f : \mathbb{R} \times X \rightarrow X$ be almost automorphic in t uniformly in $x \in X$ and satisfy the Lipschitz condition

$$(4.5) \quad \|f(t, x) - f(t, y)\| \leq L(t)\|x - y\|, \text{ for all } x, y \in X, t \in \mathbb{R},$$

where $L \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$. Then equation (4.1) has a unique almost automorphic mild solution.

Proof. We define the operator F as in (4.4). Let φ_1, φ_2 be in $AA(X)$ and denote $C := \sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}} \|S(t)\|$. We have:

$$\begin{aligned} \|(F\varphi_1)(t) - (F\varphi_2)(t)\| &= \left\| \int_{-\infty}^t S(t-s)[f(s, \varphi_1(s)) - f(s, \varphi_2(s))] ds \right\| \\ &\leq \int_{-\infty}^t L(s)\|S(t-s)\| \|\varphi_1(s) - \varphi_2(s)\| ds \\ &\leq C\|\varphi_1 - \varphi_2\|_{\infty} \|L\|_1. \end{aligned}$$

In general we get

$$\begin{aligned} \|(F^n\varphi_1)(t) - (F^n\varphi_2)(t)\| &\leq \frac{C^n}{(n-1)!} \left(\int_{-\infty}^t L(s) \left(\int_{-\infty}^s L(\tau) d\tau \right)^{n-1} ds \right) \|\varphi_1 - \varphi_2\|_{\infty} \\ &\leq \frac{C^n}{n!} \left(\int_{-\infty}^t L(\tau) d\tau \right)^n \|\varphi_1 - \varphi_2\|_{\infty} \\ &\leq \frac{(C\|L\|_1)^n}{n!} \|\varphi_1 - \varphi_2\|_{\infty}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, since $\frac{(C\|L\|_1)^n}{n!} < 1$ for n sufficiently large, by the contraction principle F has a unique fixed point $u \in AA(X)$. \square

We notice that in (4.5) different type of conditions can be considered for $L(t)$. This fact is studied in the following results.

Theorem 4.5. *Assume that A generates an integral resolvent family $\{S(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$ such that*

$$\|S(t)\| \leq \phi(t), \text{ for all } t \geq 0, \text{ with } \phi \in L^1(\mathbb{R}_+).$$

Let $f : \mathbb{R} \times X \rightarrow X$ be almost automorphic in t uniformly in $x \in X$ and satisfy the Lipschitz condition

$$\|f(t, x) - f(t, y)\| \leq L(t)\|x - y\|, \text{ for all } x, y \in X, t \in \mathbb{R},$$

where the integral $\int_{-\infty}^t L(s) ds$ exists for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$. Then equation (4.1) has a unique almost automorphic mild solution.

Proof. Define a new norm $\|\varphi\| := \sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}} \{v(t)\|\varphi(t)\|\}$, where $v(t) := e^{-k \int_{-\infty}^t L(s) ds}$ and k is a fixed positive constant greater than $C := \sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}} \|S(t)\|$. Let φ_1, φ_2 be in $AA(X)$,

then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
v(t)\|(F\varphi_1)(t) - (F\varphi_2)(t)\| &= v(t)\left\|\int_{-\infty}^t S(t-s)[f(s, \varphi_1(s)) - f(s, \varphi_2(s))]ds\right\| \\
&\leq C \int_{-\infty}^t v(t)L(s)\|\varphi_1(s) - \varphi_2(s)\|ds \\
&\leq C \int_{-\infty}^t v(t)v(s)^{-1}L(s)v(s)\|\varphi_1(s) - \varphi_2(s)\|ds \\
&\leq C\|\varphi_1 - \varphi_2\| \int_{-\infty}^t v(t)v(s)^{-1}L(s)ds \\
&= \frac{C}{k}\|\varphi_1 - \varphi_2\| \int_{-\infty}^t ke^{k\int_t^s L(\tau)d\tau}L(s)ds \\
&= \frac{C}{k}\|\varphi_1 - \varphi_2\| \int_{-\infty}^t \frac{d}{ds} \left(e^{k\int_t^s L(\tau)d\tau} \right) ds \\
&= \frac{C}{k}[1 - e^{-k\int_{-\infty}^t L(\tau)d\tau}]\|\varphi_1 - \varphi_2\| \\
&\leq \frac{C}{k}\|\varphi_1 - \varphi_2\|.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence, since $C/k < 1$, F has a unique fixed point $u \in AA(X)$.

□

Theorem 4.6. *Assume that A generates an integral resolvent family $\{S(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$ such that*

$$\|S(t)\| \leq \phi(t), \text{ for all } t \geq 0,$$

where $\phi : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ is a decreasing function such that $\phi_0 := \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \phi(m) < \infty$. Let $f : \mathbb{R} \times X \rightarrow X$ almost automorphic in t uniformly in $x \in X$ satisfying the Lipschitz condition

$$\|f(t, x) - f(t, y)\| \leq L(t)\|x - y\|, \text{ for all } x, y \in X, t \in \mathbb{R},$$

where $L := \sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}} \int_t^{t+1} L(s)ds < \infty$. Then equation (4.1) has a unique almost automorphic mild solution whenever $L\phi_0 < 1$.

Proof. Since ϕ is a decreasing function such that $\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \phi(m) < \infty$ we have that $\phi \in L^1(\mathbb{R}_+)$ and hence $S(t)$ is integrable. Let φ_1, φ_2 be in $AA(X)$, then for the same operator

F defined in (4.4), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\|(F\varphi_1)(t) - (F\varphi_2)(t)\| &= \left\| \int_{-\infty}^t S(t-s)[f(s, \varphi_1(s)) - f(s, \varphi_2(s))]ds \right\| \\
&\leq \int_{-\infty}^t L(s)\|S(t-s)\|\|\varphi_1(s) - \varphi_2(s)\|ds \\
&\leq \left(\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \int_{t-(m+1)}^{t-m} L(s)\|S(t-s)\|ds \right) \|\varphi_1 - \varphi_2\|_{\infty} \\
&\leq \left(\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \int_{t-(m+1)}^{t-m} L(s)\phi(t-s)ds \right) \|\varphi_1 - \varphi_2\|_{\infty} \\
&\leq \left(\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \phi(m) \int_{t-(m+1)}^{t-m} L(s)ds \right) \|\varphi_1 - \varphi_2\|_{\infty} \\
&\leq L \left(\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \phi(m) \right) \|\varphi_1 - \varphi_2\|_{\infty} = L\phi_0 \|\varphi_1 - \varphi_2\|_{\infty},
\end{aligned}$$

which finish the proof. □

REFERENCES

- [1] D. Araya, C. Lizama. *Almost automorphic mild solutions to fractional differential equations*, Nonlinear Analysis Series A: Theory Methods & Appl., **69** (11) (2008), 3692–3705.
- [2] W. Arendt, C. Batty, M. Hieber, F. Neubrander. *Vector-valued Laplace Transforms and Cauchy Problems*. Monographs in Mathematics. **96**, Birkhäuser, Basel, 2001.
- [3] B. Basit. *Harmonic Analysis and Asymptotic Behavior of Solutions to the Abstract Cauchy Problem*. Semigroup Forum **54**, (1997), 58-74.
- [4] B. Basit, A.J. Pryde. *Asymptotic behavior of orbits of C_0 -semigroups and solutions of linear and semilinear abstract differential equations*, Russ. J. Math. Phys. **13** (1) (2006), 13–30.
- [5] E. Bazhlekova. *Fractional Evolution Equations in Banach Spaces*, Ph.D. Thesis, Eindhoven University of Technology, 2001.
- [6] D. Bugajewski, T. Diagana. *Almost automorphy of the convolution operator and applications to differential and functional differential equations*, Nonlinear Stud., **13** (2) (2006), 129-140.
- [7] Ph. Clément, G. Da Prato. *Existence and regularity results for an integral equation with infinite delay in a Banach space*, Integral Equations and Operator Theory, **11** (1988), 480-500.
- [8] T. Diagana. *Some remarks on some second-order hyperbolic differential equations*, Semigroup Forum **68** (2004), 357-364.
- [9] T. Diagana, G.M. N'Guérékata. *Almost automorphic solutions to semilinear evolution equations*, Funct. Differ. Equ. **13** (2) (2006), 195-206.
- [10] J.A. Goldstein, G.M.N'Guérékata. *Almost automorphic solutions of semilinear evolution equations*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **133** (8) (2005), 2401-2408.
- [11] R. Gorenflo, F. Mainardi. *On Mittag-Leffler-type functions in fractional evolution processes*, J. Comp. Appl. Math. **118** (2000), 283-299.
- [12] G. Gripenberg, S-O Londen, O. Staffans. *Volterra Integral and Functional Equations*. Encyclopedia of Mathematics and Applications, **34**, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge-New York, 1990.
- [13] J. Liang; J. Zhang; T.J. Xiao, *Composition of pseudo almost automorphic and asymptotically almost automorphic functions*. J. Math. Anal. Appl. **340** (2008), no. 2, 1493–1499.
- [14] J. Liu, G.M. N'Guérékata, N. van Minh. *Almost automorphic solutions of second order evolution equations*, Appl. Anal. **84** (11) (2005), 1173-1184.

- [15] C. Lizama. *Regularized solutions for abstract Volterra equations*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **243** (2000), 278-292.
- [16] C. Lizama. *On approximation and representation of k -regularized resolvent families*, Integral Equations Operator Theory **41** (2), (2001), 223-229.
- [17] C. Lizama, J. Sánchez. *On perturbation of k -regularized resolvent families*, Taiwanese J. Math. **7** (2), (2003), 217-227.
- [18] C. Lizama, V. Poblete. *On multiplicative perturbation of integral resolvent families*, J. Math. Anal. Appl., **327** (2) (2007), 1335-1359.
- [19] G.M. N'Guérékata. *Existence and Uniqueness of Almost Automorphic Mild Solutions of Some Semilinear Abstract Differential Equations*, Semigroup Forum **69** (2004), 80-86.
- [20] G.M. N'Guérékata. *Topics in almost automorphy*, Springer Verlag, New York, 2005.
- [21] G.M. N'Guérékata. *Almost Automorphic and Almost Periodic Functions in Abstract Spaces*, Kluwer Acad/Plenum, New York-Boston-Moscow-London, 2001.
- [22] J.W. Nunziato. *On heat conduction in materials with memory*, Quart. Appl. Math. **29** (1971), 187-204.
- [23] J. Prüss. *Evolutionary Integral Equations and Applications*. Monographs Math., **87**, Birkhäuser Verlag, 1993.
- [24] S.Y. Shaw, J.C. Chen. *Asymptotic behavior of (a, k) -regularized families at zero*, Taiwanese J. Math. **10** (2) (2006), 531-542.

UNIVERSIDADE FEDERAL DE PERNAMBUCO, DEPARTAMENTO DE MATEMÁTICA,
AV. PROF. LUIZ FREIRE, S/N, RECIFE-PE, CEP. 50540-740, BRAZIL.
E-mail address: `cch@dmad.ufpe.br`

DEPARTAMENTO DE MATEMÁTICA, FACULTAD DE CIENCIAS. UNIVERSIDAD DE SANTIAGO DE CHILE,
CASILLA 307-CORREO 2, SANTIAGO, CHILE
E-mail address: `carlos.lizama@usach.cl`