MAXIMAL REGULARITY OF THE DISCRETE HARMONIC OSCILLATOR EQUATION

AIRTON CASTRO, CLAUDIO CUEVAS AND CARLOS LIZAMA

ABSTRACT. We provide a geometrical interpretation for the best approximation of the discrete harmonic oscillator equation formulated in a general Banach space setting. We give a representation of the solution, and a characterization of maximal regularity-or well posedness- solely in terms of R-boundedness properties of the resolvent operator involved in the equation.

Keywords: Discrete time; Forward difference operator; Discrete maximal regularity; $R-$boundedness, Harmonic oscillator equation.

1. INTRODUCTION

In numerical integration of a differential equation a standard approach is to replace it by a suitable difference equation whose solution can be obtained in a stable manner and without troubles from round off errors. However, often the qualitative properties of the solutions of the difference equation are quite different from the solutions of the corresponding differential equations.

For a given differential equation a difference equation approximation is called *best* if the solution of the difference equation exactly coincides with solutions of the corresponding differential equation evaluated at a discrete sequence of points. Best approximations are not unique (cf. [1, Section 3.6]).

In the recent paper [12] (see also [1]), various discretizations of the harmonic oscillator equation $\ddot{y} + y = 0$ are compared. A best approximation is given by

$$(1.1) \qquad \frac{\Delta^2 x_n}{(2\sin(\epsilon/2))^2} + x_{n+1} = 0,$$

where Δ denotes the forward difference operator of the first order, i.e. for each $x : \mathbb{Z}_+ \to X$, and $n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, $\Delta x_n = x_{n+1} - x_n$. On the other hand, in the article [17], a characterization of l_p-maximal regularity for a discrete second order equation in Banach spaces was studied, but without taking into account the best approximation character of the equation.

We study in this paper the discrete second order equation

$$(1.2) \qquad \Delta^2 x_n + A x_{n+1} = f_n,$$

on complex Banach spaces. Of course, in the finite dimensional setting, equation (1.2) include systems of linear difference equations, but the most interesting application concerns with partial difference equations. In fact, the homogeneous equation associated to (1.2) corresponds to the best discretization of the wave equation (cf. [1, Section 3.14]).

We will give a geometrical interpretation to establish that (1.2) is the best possible discretization between those of the form

$$\Delta^2 x_n + A x_{n+k} = f_n, \quad k \in \{0, 1, 2\}.$$

It is remarkable that the step size $(2 \sin(\epsilon/2))^2$ from the scalar case (1.1) will be reobtained in this process. Then, we prove that well posedness, i.e. maximal regularity of equation (1.2) in l_p vector-valued spaces, is characterized on UMD spaces by the R-boundedness of the set

$$\{\frac{(z-1)^2}{z}(\frac{(z-1)^2}{z} + A)^{-1} : \ |z| = 1, \ z \neq 1\}$$

The general framework for the proof of our statement uses a new approach based on operator-valued Fourier multipliers. In the continuous time setting the relation between operator-valued Fourier multiplier and $R-$boundedness of their symbols is well documented. But we emphasize that the discrete counterpart is too incipient and limited essentially a very few articles (see e.g. [7, 8]). We believe that the development of this topic could have a strong applied potential. This would lead to very interesting problems related with difference equations arising in numerical analysis for instance. From this perspective the results obtained in this work are, to the best of our knowledge, new.

We recall that in the continuous case, it is well known that the study of maximal regularity is very useful for treating semilinear and quasilinear problems. (see for example Amann [2], Denk-Hieber and Prüss [19], Clément-Londen-Simonett [15], the survey by Arendt [5] and the bibliography therein). However it should be noted that for nonlinear discrete time evolution equations some additional difficulties appear. In fact, we observe that this approach can not be done by a direct translation of the proofs from the continuous time setting to the discrete time setting. Indeed, the former only allows to construct a solution on a (possibly very short) time interval, the global solution being then obtained by extension results. This technique will obviously fail in the discrete time setting, where no such thing as an arbitrary short time interval exists. In the recent work [18], the authors have found a way around the "short time interval" problem to treat semilinear problems for certain evolution equations of second order. One more case merits mentioning here is Volterra difference equations which describe processes whose current state is determined by their entire prehistory (see, e.g. [11, 30], and the references given there). These processes are encountered for example in mathematical models in population dynamics as well as in models of propagation of perturbation in matter with memory. In this direction one of the authors in [16], considered maximal regularity for Volterra difference equations with infinite delay.

The paper is organized as follows. The second section provides the definitions and preliminary results to be used in the theorems stated and proved in this work. In particular to facilitate a comprehensive understanding to the reader we have supplied several basic R-boundedness properties. In the third section, we will give a geometrical interpretation to establish that (1.2) is a best discretization. In the fourth section, we treat the

existence and uniqueness problem for the equation (1.2). In the fifth section, we obtain a characterization about maximal regularity for equation (1.2). We observe that there is increasing interest in developing the qualitative theory for such equations. Indeed, it has been strongly promoted by their natural connectivity with widespread applicability in several fields of sciences and technology [1, 21].

2. Preliminaries

Let X be a Banach space. Let $\mathbb{Z}_+$ denote the set of non negative integer numbers, Δ the forward difference operator of the first order, i.e. for each $x : \mathbb{Z}_+ \to X$, and $n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, $\Delta x_n = x_{n+1} - x_n$. We introduce the means

$$\|(x_1, ..., x_n)\|_R := \frac{1}{2^n} \sum_{\epsilon_j \in \{-1,1\}^n} \| \sum_{j=1}^n \epsilon_j x_j \|$$

for $x_1, ..., x_n \in X$.

Definition 2.1. *Let X, Y be Banach spaces. A subset $\mathcal{T}$ of $\mathcal{B}(X, Y)$ is called R-bounded if there exists a constant $c \geq 0$ such that*

$$(2.1) \qquad \|(T_1 x_1, ..., T_n x_n)\|_R \leq c\|(x_1, ..., x_n)\|_R,$$

for all $T_1, ..., T_n \in \mathcal{T}, x_1, ..., x_n \in X, n \in \mathbb{N}$. The least c such that (2.1) is satisfied is called the R-bound of $\mathcal{T}$ and is denoted $R(\mathcal{T})$.

An equivalent definition using the Rademacher functions can be found in [19]. We note that R-boundedness clearly implies boundedness. If $X = Y$, the notion of R-boundedness is strictly stronger than boundedness unless the underlying space is isomorphic to a Hilbert space [3, Proposition 1.17]. Some useful criteria for $R-$boundedness are provided in [3], [19] and [23]. We remark that the concept of R-boundedness play a fundamental role in recent works by Clément-Da Prato [13], Clément et al. [14], Weis [33, 34], Arendt-Bu [3, 4] and Keyantuo-Lizama [26, 27, 28, 29].

Remark 2.2. a) Let $\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{T} \subset \mathcal{B}(X, Y)$ be R-bounded sets, then $\mathcal{S} + \mathcal{T} := \{S + T : S \in \mathcal{S}, T \in \mathcal{T}\}$ is R- bounded.

b) Let $\mathcal{T} \subset \mathcal{B}(X, Y)$ and $\mathcal{S} \subset \mathcal{B}(Y, Z)$ be R-bounded sets, then $\mathcal{S} \cdot \mathcal{T} := \{S \cdot T : S \in \mathcal{S}, T \in \mathcal{T}\} \subset \mathcal{B}(X, Z)$ is R- bounded and

$$R(\mathcal{S} \cdot \mathcal{T}) \leq R(\mathcal{S}) \cdot R(\mathcal{T}).$$

c) Also, each subset $M \subset \mathcal{B}(X)$ of the form $M = \{\lambda I : \lambda \in \Omega\}$ is R- bounded whenever $\Omega \subset \mathbb{C}$ is bounded.

A Banach space X is said to be UMD, if the Hilbert transform is bounded on $L^p(\mathbb{R}, X)$ for some (and then all) $p \in (1, \infty)$. Here the Hilbert transform H of a function $f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}, X)$, the Schwartz space of rapidly decreasing X-valued functions, is defined by

$$Hf := \frac{1}{\pi} PV(\frac{1}{t}) * f.$$

These spaces are also called $\mathcal{HT}$ spaces. It is a well known theorem that the set of Banach spaces of class $\mathcal{HT}$ coincides with the class of UMD spaces. This has been

shown by Bourgain [9] and Burkholder [10]. The following result on operator-valued Fourier multipliers on $\mathbb{T}$, due to Blunck [7], is the key for our purposes. Note that for $f \in l_p(\mathbb{Z}; X)$ the Fourier transform on $\mathbb{T}$ is defined as

$$\mathcal{F}f(z) = \hat{f}(z) = \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} z^{-j} f(j), \quad z \in \mathbb{T}.$$

Theorem 2.3. *Let* $p \in (1, \infty)$ *and* X *be a UMD space. Let* $\mathcal{T} := (-\pi, 0) \cup (0, \pi)$ *and* $M : \mathcal{T} \to \mathcal{B}(X)$ *be a differentiable function such that the set*

$$\{M(t), (e^{it} - 1)(e^{it} + 1)M'(t) : t \in \mathcal{T}\}$$

is R-bounded. Then $T_M \in \mathcal{B}(l_p(\mathbb{Z}_+; X))$ *for the following Fourier multiplier* T_M:

$$\widehat{T_M f}(e^{it}) := M(t)\hat{f}(e^{it}), \quad t \in \mathcal{T}, \hat{f} \in L_\infty(\mathbb{T}; X) \text{ of compact support.}$$

Recall that $T \in \mathcal{B}(X)$ is called analytic if the set

$$\{n(T - I)T^n : n \in \mathbb{N}\},$$

is bounded. For recent and related results on analytic operators we refer to [20].

3. Spectral Properties and Best Approximation

In this section we first give a geometrical interpretation to establish that (1.2) is the best discretization between those of the form

(3.1) $$\Delta^2 x_n + A x_{n+k} = f_n, \quad x_0 = x_1 = 0, \quad k \in \{0, 1, 2\}.$$

In fact, taking (formally) Fourier transform to (3.1) we obtain

$$(z - 1)^2 \hat{x}(z) + A z^k \hat{x}(z) = \hat{f}(z).$$

Hence the operator $(z - 1)^2 + z^k A$ is invertible if and only if $\dfrac{-(z - 1)^2}{z^k}$ belongs to the resolvent set $\rho(A)$ of A. Define the function

(3.2) $$\Gamma_\alpha(t) = -\frac{(e^{it} - 1)^2}{e^{i\alpha t}}, \quad \alpha \in \mathbb{R}, \ t \in (0, 2\pi).$$

Then, for each α fixed, $\Gamma_\alpha(t)$ describes a curve in the complex plane such that $\Gamma_\alpha(0) = \Gamma_\alpha(2\pi) = 0$.

$\alpha = 1$ and $\alpha = 2$

Proposition 3.1. *The curve Γ_α attains the minimum length at $\alpha = 1$.*

Proof. A calculation gives $\Gamma'_\alpha(t) = -2ie^{-i\frac{\alpha}{2}t}((\alpha - 1)(1 - \cos t) + i\sin t)$. Hence the length of Γ_α is given by

$$l(\alpha) = \int_0^{2\pi} |\Gamma'_\alpha(t)|dt = 2\int_0^{2\pi} \sqrt{(\alpha - 1)^2(1 - \cos t)^2 + \sin^2 t}\, dt.$$

From which the conclusion follows. $\square$

Remark 3.2. As a consequence, the value $k = 1$ in equation (3.1) is *singular* in the sense that the curve described by (3.2) attains the minimum length if and only if $\alpha = 1$. This singular character is reinforced by observing the analysis done in the paper [12](cf. also [1]), where the value

$$\Gamma_1(\epsilon) = (2\sin \epsilon/2)^2,$$

exactly corresponds to the step size in the best discretization of the harmonic oscillator. We conjecture that there is a general link between the geometrical properties of curves related to classes of difference equations and the property of best approximation. This is possibly a very difficult task, which we do not touch in this paper.

In what follows we denote $T := A + I$; $\mathbb{D}(z, r) = \{w \in \mathbb{C} : |w - z| < r\}$ and $\mathbb{T} = \partial\mathbb{D}(0, 1)$. The following result relates the values of $\Gamma_1(t)$ with the spectrum of the operator A. It will be essential in the proof of our characterization of well-posedness for (1.2) in l_p-vector valued spaces given in Section 5 below (cf. Theorem 5.2).

Proposition 3.3. *Suppose that T is analytic. Then $\sigma(I - T) \subseteq \mathbb{D}(1, 1) \cup \{0\}$. In particular,*

$$-\Gamma_1((0, 2\pi)) \subset \rho(I - T).$$

Proof. Let $M > 0$ such that $\dfrac{M}{n} \geq ||T^n(T - I)||$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Define $p(z) = z^{n+1} - z^n$. By the spectral mapping theorem, we have

$$||T^n(T - I)|| \geq \sup_{\lambda \in \sigma(p(T))} |\lambda| = \sup_{\lambda \in p(\sigma(T))} |\lambda|$$

$$= \sup_{z \in \sigma(T)} |z^n(z - 1)| = \sup_{w \in \sigma(I-T)} |w(1 - w)^n| \geq |w||1 - w|^n,$$

for all $w \in \sigma(I - T), n \in \mathbb{N}$. Hence

$$\sigma(I - T) \subseteq \mathbb{D}(1, 1) \cup \{0\}.$$

Finally, we observe that $-\Gamma_1(t) = -[2\sin(t/2)]^2 \in (-4, 0)$. $\qquad\square$

4. EXISTENCE AND UNIQUENESS

In this section, we treat the existence and uniqueness problem for the equation

$$(4.1) \qquad \begin{cases} \Delta^2 x_n - (I - T)x_{n+1} = f_n, n \in \mathbb{Z}_+, \\ \qquad\qquad x_0 = x_1 = 0, \end{cases}$$

Remark 4.1. If $z = (z_n)$ is solution of the equation

$$(4.2) \qquad \begin{cases} \Delta^2 z_n - (I - T)z_{n+1} = 0, n \in \mathbb{Z}_+, \\ z_0 = z_1 = 0, \end{cases}$$

then $z \equiv 0$. It follows from induction. In fact, suppose that $z_n = 0$ for all $n < m$, choosing $n = m - 2$ in (4.2) we get $z_m = 0$.

Recall that the convolution of two sequences x_n and y_n is defined by

$$(x * y)(n) = \sum_{j=0}^{n} x(n - j)y(j) = \sum_{j=0}^{n} x(n)y(n - j).$$

Also we note that the convolution theorem for the discrete Fourier transform holds, i.e. $\widehat{x * y}(z) = \hat{x}(z)\hat{y}(z)$. Further properties can be found in the book [21, Section 5.1]. Our main result in this section, on existence and uniqueness of solution for equation (4.1), read as follows.

Theorem 4.2. *Let $T \in \mathcal{B}(X)$, then there exist a unique solution of equation (4.1) which is given by $x_{m+1} = (B * f)_m$, where $B(n) \in \mathcal{B}(X)$ satisfy the following equation:*

$$(4.3) \qquad \begin{cases} \Delta^2 B(n) - (I - T)B(n + 1) = 0, \\ B(0) = 0, B(1) = I. \end{cases}$$

If T is an analytic operator, we have that

$$(4.4) \qquad B(n) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint_C R\left(\frac{(z - 1)^2}{z}, I - T\right) z^{n-1} dz,$$

where C is a circle, centered at the origin of the z-plane, that enclosed all poles of

$$R\left(\frac{(z - 1)^2}{z}, I - T\right) z^{n-1}.$$

Hence,

$$(4.5) \qquad \hat{B}(z) = R\left(\frac{(z-1)^2}{z}, I - T\right).$$

Proof. Let $V_n := [x_n, \Delta x_n], F_n = [0, f_n]$ and $R_T \in \mathcal{B}(X \times X)$ defined by

$$R_T[x, y] = [x + y, x + 2y - T(x + y)].$$

Then it is not difficult to see that equation (4.1) is equivalent to

$$(4.6) \qquad \begin{cases} V_{n+1} - R_T V_n = F_n, n \in \mathbb{Z}_+, \\ \qquad\quad V_0 = [0, 0], \end{cases}$$

which has the solution

$$V_{m+1} = \sum_{n=0}^{m} R_T^n F_{m-n}.$$

Denote

$$R_T = \begin{bmatrix} I & I \\ I - T & 2I - T \end{bmatrix}.$$

Then a calculation show us that there is an operator $B(n) \in \mathcal{B}(X)$ with $(I - T)B(n) = B(n)(I - T)$ such that

$$(4.7) \qquad R_T^n = \begin{bmatrix} \Delta B(n) - B(n)(I - T) & B(n) \\ B(n)(I - T) & \Delta B(n) \end{bmatrix}.$$

$B(n)$ satisfy the following equation:

$$(4.8) \qquad \begin{cases} B(n + 2) = (3I - T)B(n + 1) - B(n) \\ B(0) = 0, B(1) = I, \end{cases}$$

which is equivalent to:

$$(4.9) \qquad \begin{cases} \Delta^2 B(n) - (I - T)B(n + 1) = 0, \\ B(0) = 0, B(1) = I. \end{cases}$$

We can see that there are two sequences $a_k(2n), b_k(2n + 1)$ in $\mathbb{N}$ such that

$$B(2n) = \sum_{k=1}^{n} (-1)^{n-k} a_k(2n)(3I - T)^{2k-1}, n \geq 1.$$

$$B(2n + 1) = \sum_{k=0}^{n} (-1)^{n-k} b_k(2n + 1)(3I - T)^{2k}, n \geq 1.$$

Since $B(2n) = (3I - T)B(2n - 1) - B(2(n - 1))$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} a_k(2n) &= b_{k-1}(2n - 1) + a_k(2(n - 1)), k = 1, \cdots, n - 1. \\ a_n(2n) &= b_{n-1}(2n - 1) = 1, \ a_{n-1}(2n) = 2n - 2. \\ a_1(2n) &= n, \ b_0(2n - 1) = 0, \ b_{n-1}(2n + 1) = 2n - 1. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, using (4.7), we have

$$(4.10) \qquad x_{m+1} = (B * f)_m,$$

and

$$(4.11) \qquad \Delta x_{m+1} = (\Delta B * f)_m.$$

Hence, applying Fourier transform in (4.10) and (4.11), we obtain

$$(4.12) \qquad \widehat{\Delta B}(z)\hat{f}(z) = (z-1)\hat{B}(z)\hat{f}(z).$$

Given $x \in X$ we define

$$f_n^0 = \begin{cases} x & \text{for } n = 0, \\ 0 & \text{for } n \neq 0. \end{cases}$$

A direct calculation shows that $\hat{f}^0(z) = x$, for $z \in \mathbb{T}$. Then by (4.12), we get

$$\widehat{\Delta B}(z)x = (z-1)\hat{B}(z)x, x \in X, z \in \mathbb{T}.$$

Hence

$$(4.13) \qquad \widehat{\Delta B}(z) = (z-1)\hat{B}(z), z \in \mathbb{T}.$$

On the other hand, since $V_{m+1} = [(B*f)_m, (\Delta B * f)_m]$ is solution of (4.6), we have

$$(B*f)_m = (B*f)_{m-1} + (\Delta B * f)_{m-1},$$

and hence

$$\begin{aligned} (\Delta B * f)_m &= (I-T)[(B*f)_{m-1} + (\Delta B * f)_{m-1}] + (\Delta B * f)_{m-1} + f_m \\ &= (I-T)(B*f)_m + (\Delta B * f)_{m-1} + f_m. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$(4.14) \qquad (\Delta B * f)_m - (\Delta B * f)_{m-1} = (I-T)(B*f)_m + f_m.$$

Applying Fourier transform in (4.14) and taking into account (4.13), we have

$$(4.15) \qquad \left[\frac{(z-1)^2}{z} - (I-T) \right] \hat{B}(z) = I.$$

If T is analytic, we get

$$(4.16) \qquad \hat{B}(z) = R\left(\frac{(z-1)^2}{z}, I-T \right),$$

and the proof is finished.

∎

5. Maximal Regularity

In this section, we obtain a spectral characterization about maximal regularity for equation (1.2). The following definition is motivated in the paper [7] (see also [17]).

Definition 5.1. *Let $1 < p < +\infty$. We say that equation (1.2) has discrete maximal regularity if $Kf = (I-T)B*f$ defines a bounded operator $K \in \mathcal{B}(l_p(\mathbb{Z}_+; X))$.*

As consequence of the definition, if equation (1.2) has discrete maximal regularity, then (1.2) has discrete l_p-maximal regularity in the following sense: for each $(f_n) \in l_p(\mathbb{Z}_+; X)$ we have $(\Delta^2 x_n) \in l_p(\mathbb{Z}_+; X)$, where (x_n) is the solution of the equation $\Delta^2 x_n - (I-T)x_{n+1} = f_n$, for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}_+, x_0 = 0, x_1 = 0$. Moreover,

$$\Delta^2 x_n = \sum_{k=1}^{n} (I-T)B(k)f_{n-k} + f_n = ((I-T)B * f)_n + f_n.$$

The following is the main result of this paper.

Theorem 5.2. *Let X be a UMD space and let $T \in \mathcal{B}(X)$ analytic. Then the following assertions are equivalent.*

(i) *Equation (1.2) has discrete maximal regularity.*

(ii) $\left\{ \dfrac{(z-1)^2}{z} R\left(\dfrac{(z-1)^2}{z}, I-T \right) \Big/ |z| = 1, z \neq 1 \right\}$ *is R-bounded.*

Proof. $(i) \Rightarrow (ii)$ Define $k_T : \mathbb{Z} \to \mathcal{B}(X)$ by

$$k_T(n) = \begin{cases} (I-T)B(n) & \text{for } n \in \mathbb{N}, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise}, \end{cases}$$

and the corresponding operator $K_T : l_p(\mathbb{Z}_+; X) \to l_p(\mathbb{Z}_+; X)$ by

$$(K_T f)(n) = \sum_{j=0}^{n} k_T(j)f_{n-j} = (k_T * f)(n), n \in \mathbb{Z}_+.$$

By hypothesis, K_T is well defined and bounded on $l_p(\mathbb{Z}_+; X)$. By Proposition 3.3, $\dfrac{(z-1)^2}{z} \in \rho(I-T)$ whenever $|z| = 1, z \neq 1$. Then, by Theorem 4.2 we have

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{k}_T(z) &= (I-T)\hat{B}(z) \\ &= (I-T)R\left(\frac{(z-1)^2}{z}, I-T \right) \\ &= \frac{(z-1)^2}{z}R\left(\frac{(z-1)^2}{z}, I-T \right) - I, z \in \mathbb{T}, z \neq 1. \end{aligned}$$

We observe that there exists $L_M \in \mathcal{B}(l_p(\mathbb{Z}_+; X))$ such that

$$\mathcal{F}(L_M f)(z) := \frac{(z-1)^2}{z}R\left(\frac{(z-1)^2}{z}, I-T \right) \hat{f}(z)$$

Explicitly, L_M is given by $(L_M f)(n) = (K_T f)(n) + f(n)$. We conclude, from [7] Proposition 1.4, that the set in (ii) is R-bounded.

ii)$\Rightarrow$ (i) Define $M(t) = e^{-it}(e^{it}-1)^2 R(e^{-it}(e^{it}-1)^2, I-T) - I$ for $t \in \mathcal{T}$. Then $M(t)$ is R-bounded by hypothesis and Remark 2.6. Define

$$N(t) = (e^{it}-1)^2 R(e^{it}(e^{it}-1)^2, I-T) - e^{it}I,$$

then $M(t) = e^{-it}N(t)$ and $\{N(t)\}$ is R-bounded. A calculation shows that $M'(t) = -ie^{-it}N(t) + e^{-it}N'(t)$. Note that $M(t)$ is R-bounded if and only if $N(t)$ is R-bounded (cf. Remark 2.6). Moreover,

$$(e^{it} - 1)N'(t) = 2ie^{it}[N(t) + e^{it}I] - (2 - i + ie^{-it})[N(t) + e^{it}I]^2 - ie^{it}(e^{it} - 1)I$$

It shows that the set $\{(e^{it} - 1)M'(t)\}_{t \in \mathcal{T}}$ is R-bounded, thanks to Remark 2.6 again. It follows the R-boundedness of the set $\{(e^{it} + 1)(e^{it} - 1)M'(t)\}$. Then, by Theorem 2.7 we obtain that there exists $T_M \in \mathcal{B}(l_p(\mathbb{Z}, X))$ such that

$$\mathcal{F}(T_M f)(z) = \frac{(z - 1^2)}{z} R\left(\frac{(z - 1)^2}{z}, I - T\right)\hat{f}(z) - \hat{f}(z), z \in \mathbb{T}, z \neq 1.$$

By Theorem 4.2, we have

$$\mathcal{F}(Kf)(z) = (I - T)R\left(\frac{(z - 1)^2}{z}, I - T\right)\hat{f}(z) = \mathcal{F}(T_M f)(z).$$

Then, by uniqueness of the Fourier transform, we conclude that $K \in \mathcal{B}(l_p(\mathbb{Z}_+, X))$.

∎

Remark 5.3. Note that

$$\left\{\frac{(z - 1)^2}{z} R\left(\frac{(z - 1)^2}{z}, I - T\right) \Big/ |z| = 1, z \neq 1\right\}$$

is R-bounded if and only if

$$\left\{(z - 1)^2 R\left(\frac{(z - 1)^2}{z}, I - T\right) \Big/ |z| = 1, z \neq 1\right\}$$

is R-bounded.

Corollary 5.4. *Let H be a Hilbert space and let $T \in \mathcal{B}(H)$ be an analytic operator. Then the following assertions are equivalent.*

(i) *Equation (1.2) has discrete maximal regularity.*

(ii) $\displaystyle\sup_{|z|=1, z \neq 1} ||\frac{(z - 1)^2}{z}\left(\frac{(z - 1)^2}{z} - (I - T)\right)^{-1}|| < \infty.$

Remark 5.5. Letting $H = \mathbb{C}$ and $T = \rho I$ with $0 \leq \rho < 1$ we get that the hypothesis of the preceding corollary are satisfied. We conclude that the scalar equation

$$\Delta^2 x_n - (1 - \rho)x_{n+1} = f_n, n \in \mathbb{Z}_+, x_0 = x_1 = 0,$$

has the property that for all $(f_n) \in l_p(\mathbb{Z}_+)$ we get $(\Delta^2 x_n) \in l_p(\mathbb{Z}_+)$. In particular $x_n \to 0$, i.e. the solution is stable. Note that using (4.5) we can infer that

$$B(n) = \frac{1}{a - b}(a^n - b^n),$$

where a and b are the real roots of $z^2 + (\rho - 3)z - 1 = 0$. Moreover, the solution is given by

$$x_{m+1} = (B * f)_m = \sum_{j=0}^{m} \frac{1}{a - b}(a^{(m-j)} - b^{(m-j)})f(j).$$

References

[1] R.P. Agarwal, *Difference Equations and Inequalities*, Monographs and Textbooks in Pure and Applied Mathematics, **228**, Marcel Dekker, New York, 2000.

[2] H. Amann, *Quasilinear parabolic functional evolution equations.* In: M. Chipot, H. Ninomiya (editors), Recent Advances in Elliptic and Parabolic Issues. Proc. of the 2004 Swiss - Japanese Seminar, World Scientific, (2006), 19 - 44.

[3] W. Arendt, S. Bu, *The operator-valued Marcinkiewicz multiplier theorem and maximal regularity,* Math. Z. **240** (2002), 311-343.

[4] W. Arendt, S. Bu, *Operator-valued Fourier multiplier on periodic Besov spaces and applications,* Proc. Edim. Math. Soc., **47** (2) (2004), 15-33.

[5] W. Arendt, *Semigroups and evolution equations: functional calculus, regularity and kernel estimates,* Evolutionary equations. Vol. I, 1-85, Handb. Differ. Equ., North-Holland, Amsterdam, 2004.

[6] A. Ashyralyev, C. Cuevas, S. Piskarev, *On well-posedness of difference schemes for abstract elliptic problems in $L^p([0,T];E)$ spaces,* Numer. Funct. Anal. Optim., **29** (1-2) (2008), 43-65.

[7] S. Blunck, *Maximal regularity of discrete and continuous time evolution equations*, Studia Math. **146**(2) (2001), 157-176.

[8] S. Blunck, *Analyticity and discrete maximal regularity of L_p-spaces,* J. Funct. Anal., **183** (1) (2001), 211-230.

[9] J. Bourgain, *Some remarks on Banach spaces in which martingale differences sequences are unconditional,* Arkiv Math., **21** (1983), 163-168.

[10] D.L. Burkholder, *A geometrical condition that implies the existence of certain singular integrals on Banach-space-valued functions.* In: Conference on Harmonic Analysis in Honour of Antoni Zygmund, Chicago 1981, W. Becker, A.P. Calderón, R. Fefferman, P.W. Jones (eds), Belmont, Cal. Wadsworth (1983), 270-286.

[11] F. Cardoso, C. Cuevas, *Exponential dichotomy and boundedness for retarded functional difference equations,* J. Differ. Equ. Appl., to appear.

[12] J.L. Cieśliński, B. Ratkiewicz, *On simulations of the classical harmonic oscillator equation by difference equations*, Advances in Difference Equations (2006), doi:10.1155/ADE/2006/40171.

[13] Ph. Clément, G. Da Prato, *Existence and regularity results for an integral equation with infinite delay in a Banach space,* Integral Equations Operator Theory, **11** (1988), 480-500.

[14] Ph. Clément, B. de Pagter, F.A. Sukochev, M. Witvliet, *Schauder decomposition and multiplier theorems,* Studia Math., **138** (2000), 135-163.

[15] Ph. Clément, S.O. Londen, and G. Simonett, *Quasilinear evolutionary equations and continuous interpolation spaces,* J. Differential Equations, **196** (2) (2004), 418-447.

[16] C. Cuevas, C. Vidal, *A note on discrete maximal regularity for functional difference equations with infinite delay.* Adv. Difference Equ., (2006), 1-11.

[17] C. Cuevas, C. Lizama, *Maximal regularity of discrete second order Cauchy problems in Banach spaces,* J. Differ. Equ. Appl., **13** (12) (2007), 1129-1138.

[18] C. Cuevas, C. Lizama, *Semilinear evolution equations of second order via maximal regularity ,* Adv. Difference Equ., (2008), doi:10.1155/2008/316207.

[19] R. Denk, M. Hieber and J. Prüss, *R$-$boundedness, Fourier multipliers and problems of elliptic and parabolic type,* Mem. Amer. Math. Soc., **166** (788), 2003.

[20] N. Dungey, *A note on time regularity for discrete time heat kernels,* Semigroup Forum, **72** (2006), 404-410.

[21] S. Elaydi, *An introduction to difference equations*, Undergraduate Texts in Mathematics, 3rd. ed. Springer Verlag, 2005.

[22] M. Geissert, *Maximal L^p regularity for parabolic difference equations,* Math. Nach., (16) **279** (2006), 1787-1796.

[23] M. Girardi, L. Weis, *Operator-valued Fourier multiplier theorems on Besov spaces,* Math. Nachr., **251** (2003), 34-51.

[24] D. Guidetti and S. Piskarev, *Stability of the Crank-Nicolson scheme and maximal regularity for parabolic equations in $C^\theta(\overline{\Omega})$ spaces,* Numer. Funct. Anal. Optim., **20** $(3-4)$ (1999), 251-277.

[25] N.J. Kalton, P. Portal, *Remarks on l^1 and l^∞ maximal regularity for power bounded operators,* Preprint 2007.

[26] V. Keyantuo, C. Lizama, *Maximal regularity for a class of integro-differential equations with infinite delay in Banach spaces,* Studia Math., **168** (1) (2005), 25-49.

[27] V. Keyantuo, C. Lizama, *Fourier multipliers and integro-differential equations in Banach spaces,* J. London Math. Soc., **69** (3) (2004), 737-750.

[28] V. Keyantuo, C. Lizama, *Periodic solutions of second order differential equations in Banach spaces,* Math. Z., **253** (3) (2006), 489-514.

[29] V. Keyantuo, C. Lizama, *Hölder continuous solutions for integro-differential equations and maximal regularity.* J. Differential Equations, **230** (2006), 634-660.

[30] V.B. Kolmanovskii, E. Castellanos-Velasco, J.A. Torres-Munoz, *A survey: stability and boundedness of Volterra difference equations,* Nonlinear Anal., **53** (7) (2003), 861-928.

[31] P. Portal, *Discrete time analytic semigroups and the geometry of Banach spaces,* Semigroup Forum, **67** (2003), 125-144.

[32] P. Portal, *Maximal regularity of evolution equations on discrete time scales,* J. Math. Anal. Appl., **304** (2005), 1-12.

[33] L. Weis, *Operator-valued Fourier multiplier theorems and maximal L_p-regularity,* Math. Ann., **319** (2001), 735-758.

[34] L. Weis, *A new approach to maximal L_p-regularity,* Lecture Notes Pure Appl. Math., **215,** Marcel Dekker, New York, 2001, 195-214.

Universidade Federal de Pernambuco, Departamento de Matemática, Av. Prof. Luiz Freire, S/N, Recife-PE, CEP. 50540-740, Brazil.
  E-mail address: (Airton Castro)`airton@dmat.ufpe.br`
  E-mail address: (Claudio Cuevas)`cch@dmat.ufpe.br`

Universidad de Santiago de Chile, Departamento de Matemática, Facultad de Ciencias, Casilla 307-Correo 2, Santiago-Chile.
  E-mail address: (Carlos Lizama)`carlos.lizama@usach.cl`